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Abstract

The main aim of this study is to improve our knowledge on Internet Gaming Disorder (IGD), contributing to a common discourse concerning its risk profiles. Specifically, the study aims to assess the prevalence of addicted gamers among Italian online and offline gamers, accounting for gender and to investigate the mediation role of gaming motives on the relationship between personality risk traits and IGD. A total of 627 videogame players (55% women; mean age 27 years) recruited from social networking sites took part in the study and filled a questionnaire including gaming characteristics, the Internet Gaming Disorder Scale (IGDS9-SF), the Substance Use Risk Profile Scale (SURPS) and the Motives for Online Gaming Questionnaire (MOGQ). The prevalence rate of Internet gaming disorder was 5.3%. Hopelessness was the personality dimension that most differentiates gaming addicts from non-addicts. Results of the mediation model suggested that Hopelessness was both directly and indirectly (via escape, fantasy and recreational motives) associated with IGD. The motivations Escape and Fantasy also mediate between Anxiety sensitivity and IGD while Competition mediate between Sensation seeking trait and IGD. The evidence suggests that IGD is motivated by psychological mechanisms similar to those compelling substance abuse, but with its own unique features.
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d’une moyenne d’âge de 27 ans) recrutés sur des sites de réseaux sociaux ont participé à l’étude et rempli un questionnaire comprenant des caractéristiques liées au jeu, l’échelle de la dépendance au jeu sur Internet (IGDS9-SF), l’échelle de profil de risque de consommation de substances psychoactives (SURPS) et le questionnaire sur les raisons de jouer en ligne (MOGQ). Le taux de prévalence de la dépendance au jeu sur Internet s’établissait à 5,3 %. Le désespoir constituait l’aspect de la personnalité qui distinguait le plus les personnes dépendantes au jeu des personnes non dépendantes. Les résultats du modèle de médiation donnaient à penser que le désespoir était associé directement et indirectement (raisons liées à la fuite, à l’imaginaire et aux loisirs) à la DJI. Les raisons Fuite et Imaginaire assurent la médiation entre la sensibilité à l’anxiété et la DJI, tandis que la concurrence assure la médiation entre la recherche de sensations et la DJI. Tout indique que la DJI est motivée par des mécanismes psychologiques similaires à ceux qui incitent à la toxicomanie, mais possède ses propres caractéristiques.

Introduction

Playing videogames has for decades been the most popular source of entertainment among young people (Šporčić and Glavak-Tkalić, 2018). In the United States (US), approximately 65% of adults (50% of men and 48% of women) play videogames regularly (Entertainment Software Association, 2019) and in the European Union (EU), videogames are highly popular across age groups (Kovess-Masfety et al., 2016), with 48% of Europeans having played digital games (Interactive Software Federation of Europe, 2012).

Although for the majority of users gaming may be harmless, and for some, may even yield cognitive, motivational, emotional, and social benefits because of its psychologically rewarding features (Hellström et al., 2012), excessive video gaming may instead produce detrimental effects on certain gamers’ lives (Altintas et al., 2019; Gentile et al., 2011; Mentzoni et al., 2011). The latest Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) introduces Internet Gaming Disorder (IGD) in Section 3 (“Emerging Measures and Models”) with the aim to improve consistency in the conceptualization of gaming-related problems. IGD is defined by at least 5 of the 9 criteria, over a 12-month period, referred to the gaming behaviour: preoccupation; withdrawal; tolerance; loss of interest in other activities; minimizing use; loss of relationship, educational, or career opportunities; gaming to escape or relieve anxiety, guilt or other negative mood states; failure to control and continued gaming despite psychosocial problems (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The DSM-5 considers IGD related to specific Internet games, also involving offline computerised games (Kuss et al., 2017).

The prevalence of the IGD is currently unclear (see Mihara and Higuchi, 2017, for a review). Previous studies, based on DSM-5 criteria, reported the lowest prevalence
rate (0.2%) in a German population ranging from teenagers to adults (Festl et al., 2013), with young adults 21–30 (males 8.9%, females 5.1%), and middle adults 31–40 (males 5.5%, females 2.0%) (Lemmens et al., 2015). Other research has estimated IGD rates between 1.2% and 8.5% in adolescents and young adults (Király et al., 2015; Moudiab and Spada, 2019; Wartberg et al., 2017), highlighting a growing public health concern.

Scholars in the field have repeatedly outlined how understanding factors that underpin IGD is crucial for its psychopathological classification and treatment (Scerri et al., 2019). Nowadays, a large amount of research has investigated the mechanisms that lead to IGD (Kircaburun et al., 2019) concluding that personality is one of the prominent risk factors that predict the development of IGD (Gervasi et al., 2017). In addition, following Caplan and colleagues’ (2009) motivation theory, Kardefelt-Winther (2014) empirically demonstrated that the gaming motivations, such as escapism and achievement, mediate the relationship between individual vulnerabilities and excessive online gaming. This result suggests that motivations for play and psychological characteristics may be usefully investigated in conjunction rather than separately. The theoretical model of Compensatory Internet Use represents an opportunity to combine the psychological approach with the motivations theory. This model assumes that the more individuals are psychologically vulnerable, the more they are likely to turn to online applications (i.e., video games) to compensate for their difficulties offline (e.g., Adams et al., 2019; Ballabio et al., 2017). Following this model, exploring gaming motives as mediators between psychological traits and IGD would allow us to deepen our understanding of why individuals play, by contextualizing the motivation for overuse in the presence of personality risk factors.

Similarly to theories of substance use addiction (e.g., Biolcati & Passini, 2019; Cooper, 1994), we thus assume that gaming motives are the proximal variables to IGD through which more distal risk variables, such as personality traits, exert their influence. Therefore, research on IGD needs to consider mediation effects and the interaction of the prominent risk factors. Based on these reasons, the present study tested the direct and indirect effects of personality risk traits on IGD via gaming motives, in adult population.

**Internet Gaming Disorder and personality traits**

Over the last decade, a number of studies have explored the relationship between IGD and players’ personality traits considered as addictive risk factors (Caplan et al., 2009; Schneider et al., 2017). Empirical studies revealed IGD to be associated with neuroticism, aggression and hostility, loneliness and introversion, social inhibition, boredom inclination, sensation-seeking, low self-esteem, state and trait anxiety, to cite a few (see Şalvarlı & Griffiths, 2019, for a review).

Previous research carried out in adult populations reported higher impulsivity levels (Aboujaoude, 2017) as well as depressive and anxiety symptoms (Mentzoni et al., 2011) in frequent Internet gamers when matched with non-frequent Internet gamers.
In addition, adult IGD patients present specific personality traits, such as impulsivity and sensation seeking (Mallorquí-Bagué et al., 2017; Mehroof and Griffiths, 2010; Norbury and Husain, 2015). A recent literature review (Gervasi et al., 2017) revealed that high neuroticism and high impulsivity appeared quite steadily as significant predictors of IGD across the studies, but the overall result of the review showed that different combinations of personality traits play a key role in the development of IGD. Similarly to substance use disorders, individuals with poorly regulated emotions often engage in maladaptive behaviors to escape from or downregulate their emotions (Estévez et al., 2017). For this reason, following the Pathways Model framework (Allami and Vitaro, 2015) serving as a stepping stone for identifying individuals who are at risk of developing a Gambling Disorder, in the present study we used the four personality profiles emerging from the Substance Use Risk Profile (SURPS; Woicik et al., 2009). Indeed, both substance-related and behavioural addictions are supposed to share common predisposing factors and may be part of an addiction syndrome (Kuss et al., 2018). The SURPS seems to be well suited for personality assessment in addiction research (Biolocti and Passini, 2019), as only relevant traits are examined with a relatively small number of items: the internalising profiles of Anxiety Sensitivity and Hopelessness, and the externalising profiles of Sensation Seeking and Impulsivity. Specifically, all four profile scales investigated by SURPS seem related to the development of profile-specific behavioural problems (Newton et al., 2016). As far as we know, the SURPS has never been used to investigate videogame players’ personality traits.

**IGD and Gaming Motives**

Gaming motives have been a key construct in the understanding of Internet gaming, providing a framework to differentiate between gamers, in terms of gaming behaviours and IGD (Moudiab and Spada, 2019). Among online players, the motivations of achievement, social connection, and escapism are factors that are predictive of gaming addiction (Dauriat et al., 2011). Achievement is defined as the desire to gain power, progression, accumulation of in-game wealth/status, and competition. Social connection is qualified as the production of long-term meaningful relationships and teamwork. Escapism represents the avoidance from real-life concerns. Evidences show that gamers choose to play in relation to different motives, emphasising that video gaming may have different meanings and consequences for diverse players (Moudiab and Spada, 2019). A previous research on IGD (Ramos-Diaz et al., 2018) showed that escapism and fantasy were the factors that most predict IGD among players. Other research investigating gaming motives as risk factors revealed that the escape motive was the strongest predictor of IGD (e.g., Bányai et al., 2019).

Research on the mediation role of motivational factors is not new in the field of addiction studies (e.g., Biolocti and Passini, 2018). Indeed, previous results have suggested a mediating role of motivational factors in other behavioural addictions such as Internet addiction (Bischof-Kastner et al., 2014). Specifically, based on studies on alcohol use that have identified a mediator role of drinking motives between distal influences (i.e., personality traits) and drinking problems (Biolocti
et al., 2016), this study examined the assumption that there should be a link between personality risk profile and IGD via the mediation of gaming motives.

Specifically, the first goal of the present study was to explore the gamer features, the gaming characteristics and the prevalence rate of addicted gamers in a large sample of Italian online and offline gamers, accounting for gender. The second aim was to investigate the mediation role of gaming motives on the relationship between personality risk profile (stemming by SURPS) and IGD among video gamers.

**Method**

**Participants**

A total of 645 participants (women = 55.7%) aged between 18 and 58 (\(M = 27.27, SD = 7.54\)) filled in the questionnaires. A question about having ever played at videogame was asked as filter for our sample: the final number of participants was 627, corresponding to 97.2% of the total sample, while 2.8% (\(n = 18\)), who had never played videogames in their life, were excluded from the following analysis. The estimated maximum sampling error was 3.91% at the 95% confidence level (\(Z = 1.96\)).

All the subjects were Italian citizens, 345 women (55%) and 282 men (45%) from 18 to 55 years old (\(M = 27, SD = 6.95\)). With regard to qualifications, 38 (6.1%) of the sample had earned a junior high certificate, 361 (57.6%), had achieved a high school diploma, 131 (20.9%) had been awarded a bachelor’s degree, 68 (10.8%) had earned a master’s degree, and 29 people (4.6%) held either a specialization or PhD.

**Procedure**

Participants were contacted online, using an Internet questionnaire built with Google Forms, a survey-generating tool. The questionnaire was drafted in Italian and the sample was provided with a dictionary definition of “videogame” and a brief of the study. The sample was recruited by means of a snowballing procedure. Specifically, two final year students were asked to recruit friends, relatives, and family members to answer the questionnaire. Participants were asked to answer questions about gaming habits. The only inclusion criteria was to have played videogame once in their lives. To check and prevent anyone from re-entering the survey site, the subject’s IP address was monitored. In accordance with the standard procedure for minimal-risk online surveys, the informed consent of the participants was implied through survey completion. No personal identifying information was gathered. Data were collected in 2019. This survey was conducted in agreement with the ethical norms laid down by the Italian National Psychological Association.

**Measures**

First, participants provided demographics (i.e., age, gender, relationship status and academic level) and details about gaming characteristics (i.e., onset age of gaming,
yearly game frequency on a 5-point Likert scale; weekly time played; daily hours played on the digital devices). Last, favourite game genres and modalities of playing (i.e., offline/online, single-hand/multiplayer game) were also collected.

The Internet Gaming Disorder Scale – Short-Form (IGDS9-SF)

The IGDS9-SF, in the Italian version validated by de Monacis and colleagues (2016), was used to assess the severity of IGD referring to either online or offline gaming activities, or both, occurring over a 12-month period. The scale has a single latent factor structure and it comprises 9 items corresponding to the 9 core criteria defined by the DSM-5. Answers are on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (very often) and higher scores indicate a higher degree of gaming problems. The statistical validation of the IGDS9-SF Italian version resulted in a cut-off point of 21. In the present study, the IGDS9-SF had good reliability ($\alpha = .79$).

Substance Use Risk Profile Scale (SURPS)

The SURPS, constructed by Woicik and colleagues (2009), was used to assess the personality risk traits. SURPS consists of 23 items on a 4-Likert response scale from Completely Disagree to Completely Agree. The scale measures 4 underlying personality dimensions: Hopelessness (7 items, e.g., “I feel that I’m a failure,” $\alpha = .88$, it requires an inversion of respondent’s score), Anxiety Sensitivity (5 items, e.g., “It frightens me when I feel my heart beat,” $\alpha = .71$), Impulsivity (e.g., “I often don’t think things through before I speak,” $\alpha = .70$), and Sensation Seeking (e.g., “I would like to skydive,” $\alpha = .74$).

Motives for Online Gaming Questionnaire (MOGQ)

The MOGQ (Demetrovics et al., 2011) is a 27-item scale that covers 7 motivational factors on a 5-point Likert scale (from almost never/never to almost always/always): Sociability ($\alpha = .77$), Escape ($\alpha = .91$), Competition ($\alpha = 0.85$), Coping ($\alpha = .84$), Skill Development ($\alpha = .92$), Fantasy ($\alpha = .88$) and Recreation ($\alpha = .97$).

Results

As regards to weekly days played, 137 (21.9%) affirmed to play “every day,” while 100 (15.9%) “5–6 days” a week, 125 (19.9%) “3–4 days,” 113 (18%), “1–2 days,” 152 (24.2%) “less than weekly” (24.2%). Regarding the hours played per day, 223 (35.6%) participants play games “1–2 hours,” 162 (25.8%) “3–4 hours,” 48 (7.7%) 5–6 hours,” 8 (1.3%) “7–8 hours,” 11 (1.8%) “more than 8 hours.” Only 175 (27.8%) play “less than an hour” a day. Regarding time spent on video gaming, certain significant gender differences were found. Chi square test showed that men spend more time during the week [$\chi^2 (1, N = 627) = 34.2, p < .001$; 27.7% vs. 17.1% “everyday”], and more hours in a day [$\chi^2 (1, N = 627) = 19.4, p < .01$; 2.5% vs. 1.2% “more than 8 hours”] than women.
Most of the participants, 395 (63%), usually play alone, while 87 (13.9%) play with their own friends, 21 (3.3%) play with people met on the game platform sharing the same game interest, and 124 (19.8%) play both with friends and people met on game platforms. One hundred and seventy (27.1%) gamers play mainly on offline games, (computer, smartphone or tablet), 232 (37.0%) usually prefer online games on the same platforms, while most of them, 225 (35.9%), use games on console (Play Station, Xbox, etc.). Notably women showed more interest in offline games than men \( \chi^2 (1, N = 627) = 65.2, p < .001; 37.7\% \text{ vs. } 14.2\% \) “offline games”], while men preferred games on console (Xbox, Play Station etc.) compared to women \( \chi^2 (1, N = 627) = 65.2, p < .001; 51.1\% \text{ vs. } 23.5\% \) “games on console”]. Regarding the favourite game genres, people could give maximum 3 answers on a multiple choice of games. The most frequent choices were simulator games \( n = 270; 17.6\% \), adventure games \( n = 228; 14.8\% \), followed by action games \( n = 224; 14.6\% \), and role playing games \( n = 202; 13.1\% \).

Among the total sample, 5.3\% \( n = 34 \) had an IGD according to the IGDS9-SF cut-off score \( (> 21) \). They were 16 women and 18 men. Chi-square found no differences regarding gender \( \chi^2 (1) = .922, p = .22 \).

Concerning the risk profile scale (see Table 1), ANOVA analyses showed that females have higher level of anxiety sensitivity and impulsivity, whereas men resulted more sensation seekers. With regards to motivations for gaming, men were moved more by social, competition, coping, skills and recreational motives than women. On the other side, women played games more for escapism motives than men.

A path analysis model was computed to test the mediation of motives for video gaming on the relationship between SURPS and IGD. The model was estimated using the robust maximum likelihood estimator (MLR) performed with the MPLUS 8 software program (Muthén and Muthén, 2012). For the evaluation of the model fit, we considered the comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), the standardized root-mean-square residual (SRMR), and the root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA). Consistent with the recommendation of Hu and Bentler (1999), goodness-of-fit criteria were used to quantify excellent fit \( \text{CFI} > 0.95, \text{TLI} > 0.95, \text{SRMR} < 0.08, \text{RMSEA} < 0.06 \). Finally, the indirect effects and their standard errors in the model were also estimated with MPLUS by delta method (MacKinnon et al., 2002). The model was controlled for the gender of participants.

After non-statistically significant paths \( (p > .05) \) were trimmed from the model, final path coefficients (see Figure 1) showed that Hopelessness predicted Escape, Fantasy and Recreation; Anxiety Sensitivity predicted Escape, Coping and Fantasy; Impulsivity predicted Recreation; and Sensation seeking predicted Competition and

---

1For that reason, the distribution of this variable was not normal (see Table 1). Instead, all the other variables had acceptable values of skewness and kurtosis, as they were between ± 2 (Gravetter & Wallnau, 2014).
Table 1
Means and ANOVA Differences for Gender and Internet Gaming Disorder Among Study Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>IGD</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>$SD$</td>
<td>Ske</td>
<td>Kur</td>
<td>$M$ Men</td>
<td>$M$ Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.19</td>
<td>26.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset age of gaming</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>9.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly game frequency (1, 5)</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURPS (1, 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety Sensitivity</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulsivity</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensation Seeking</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopelessness</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOGQ (1, 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escape</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fantasy</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGDS9-SF (9, 45)</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>13.24</td>
<td>12.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Ske = Skewness. Kur = Kurtosis. SURPS = Substance use risk profile scale. MOGQ = Motives for online gaming questionnaire. IGDS9-SF = 9-item Internet Gaming Disorder Scale SF. The numbers in parentheses represent the scale range.

***$p < .001$. **$p < .01$. *$p < .05$. 
Table 2

Correlation Analysis Between Variables Among the Total Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. IGD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Age</td>
<td>-.11**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Onset age of gaming</td>
<td>-.10*</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Game frequency during the year</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-.19**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Anxiety sensitivity</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>-.24**</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Impulsivity</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>-.20**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Sensation seeking</td>
<td>.09*</td>
<td>-.15**</td>
<td>-.15**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Hopelessness</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.22**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Social motives</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>-.12**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.08*</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Escape motives</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>-.13**</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Competition motives</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>-.09*</td>
<td>-.18**</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Coping motives</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.13**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.08*</td>
<td>.08*</td>
<td>.09*</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.64**</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Skills motives</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>-.15**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>.09*</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.66**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.73**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Fantasy motives</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>-.15**</td>
<td>-.13**</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>.68**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.54**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Recreational motives</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.12**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.11**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.72**</td>
<td>.54**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note**  =  *p < .01;  * =  *p < .05;  IGD = Internet Gaming Disorder.
Figure 1

Ability. With regards IGD, this variable was predicted by Escape, Coping, Fantasy, Recreation, and directly from Hopelessness. Social Motive and Ability did not predict it, and the former was not predicted by any SURPS variable. Gender (Women = 0 and Men = 1) negatively predicted Escape and Fantasy, while positively Competition, Ability, and Recreation. The effect of Gender on IGD was not significant ($B = .05$, $p = \text{ns}$). The fit indexes of the resulting model display a good fit with $\chi^2(25) = 55.77$, $CFI = .99$, $TLI = .97$, $RMSEA = .04$, $SRMR = .05$.

Regarding the mediation effects on IGD, the indirect effects from Hopelessness through the mediating effects of Escape, Fantasy, and Recreation were significant (total indirect effect: $B = .06$, $t = 4.61$, $p < .001$); the indirect effects from Anxiety Sensitivity through the mediating effects of Escape, Coping, and Fantasy were significant (total indirect effect: $B = .05$, $t = 4.33$, $p < .001$); the indirect effects from Sensation seeking through the mediating effects of Competition were significant ($B = .05$, $t = 4.19$, $p < .001$).
Discussion

The present study had two goals: (i) to explore the gaming characteristics and the prevalence rate of addicted gamers among Italian online and offline gamers, accounting for gender; (ii) to investigate the mediation role of gaming motives on the relationship between personality risk traits and IGD. Overall, gamers reported they first played digital games at an early age (on average at eight years), and almost 40% of participants play video games regularly (more than five days a week), in accordance with previous studies (Pontes et al., 2016; Vitaro et al., 2004).

These findings confirmed the majority of studies (e.g., Mentzoni et al., 2011) stating that men are more engaged in gaming activities compared to women and they report more problems with gaming (Brunborg et al., 2013; Monacis et al., 2016). Similarly confirming previous findings in the gaming literature (i.e., Demetrovics et al., 2011), male gamers score higher on many different gaming motives, mainly competition and skills achievement, whereas female gamers score higher only on escapism. Our findings are also consistent with gender differences in risk profiles and gaming inclination (Király et al., 2015). Men generally tend to be more competitive in various settings and more sensation seekers, while women are more prone to experience negative emotions and to internalize problems returned in higher occurrence of hopelessness and anxiety, and this is in line with their higher escapism motive scores. However, it is interesting to note that males and females are equally represented in the group of players who exceed the cut-off for IGD.

Exploring IGD, using the cut-off of 21 points for diagnosis as suggested by a study on an Italian sample (Monacis et al., 2016), the prevalence rate in our sample is above the average incidence of IGD (4.7%; range 0.7–15.6%) obtained in studies on naturalistic populations (Feng et al., 2017). This result can be explained by the fact that Italy is considered a “nation of players,” ranked the tenth country worldwide for gaming revenue (de Palo et al., 2019). Specifically, distinctions between IGD and no-IGD emerged with respect to the relationship with the personality dimensions stemming from SURPS. Indeed, the IGD addicts were significantly more impulsive and hopeless than individuals not diagnosed as IGD. Indeed, unlike other studies considering SURPS dimensions as distinctive traits of psychoactive substance over-users (e.g., Biolcati and Passini, 2019), Anxiety Sensitivity and Sensation Seeking are not personality traits prominent among gaming addicts. Specifically, Hopelessness was the personality dimension that most differentiated gaming addicts from non-addicts. After all, other studies have demonstrated that depression is a relevant psychological symptom for an IGD diagnosis: individuals classified with IGD had higher rates of depressive symptoms than those without IGD, and they were more likely to report periods of hopelessness (Petry at al., 2015). Persons who consider themselves “to be a failure” and with few prospects for the future are likely to be attracted to games that allow them to escape from everyday reality, supporting the Compensatory Internet Use theory. Impulsivity has also been linked to IGD in previous studies (e.g. Aboujaoude, 2017) considering that to act for the pleasure of the activity without thinking about its consequences represents a peculiarity of the
addiction. On the other hand, unlike previous studies (e.g., Müller et al., 2016; Norbury and Husain, 2015), our findings suggested that sensation-seeking and anxiety-sensitivity traits did not discriminate between addicts and non-addicts. It is also possible that sensation seekers seek novel or arousing stimuli with the selection of other media content, such as violent and pornographic fare, rather than videogames (Krcmar and Greene, 1999), and video gaming appears not to be the favourite sedative activity for anxious people.

Moreover, in the total sample, our findings showed that Recreation was the main motive for gaming. The lack of differences on recreational motive score between addict gamers and no-addicts strengthened the belief that playing videogames for leisure and entertainment motives may be harmless. Conversely, playing videogames to escape everyday difficulties appears to be a motivating behaviour that may alleviate psychological suffering and thus extends self-medication theory to video gaming (Király et al., 2015). All the other gaming motives were significantly higher among gamers with IGD, consistently with previous studies (Laconi et al., 2017; Wittek et al., 2016).

The results of the mediation model suggested that Hopelessness was both directly and indirectly (via escape, fantasy and recreational motives) associated with IGD. Feelings of hopelessness of individuals in real life may become an inner drive for over-use of videogame playing. Certain studies have reported significant relationships between gamers’ sense of loneliness and depression and problematic online games (King et al., 2010; Tonioni et al., 2012). Moreover, the motivation to escape has been found to mediate between Hopelessness and Anxiety sensitivity on one side and gaming addiction severity on the other.

The Escapism motive was shown to be a predictor of IGD, confirming previous findings (Šporčić and Glavak-Tkalić, 2018). Videogames offer a rich and complete alternative scenario, full of exciting opportunities that are particularly suitable for escapism (Castronova, 2005). Furthermore, escapism was one of the nine criteria included in DSM-5 for IGD (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). In addition, our results showed that the Fantasy motive mediates between Hopelessness and Anxiety sensitivity traits and IGD. This result is not surprising given that experiencing exciting, amazing and alternative virtual worlds may be used to avoid and forget about individual distress. Similarly to Király and colleagues (2015), we found a mediation effect of competition, but only between sensation seeking trait and IGD. Previous studies have reported that the highest level of competitiveness was found in the assiduous gamers in comparison with casual players (Jansz and Tanis, 2007) and it is likely that gamers who scored high on sensation seeking were motivated to play by experiencing intense emotions given by the challenge with others. As expected, social, and skill development motives did not play a mediating role between personality profiles and IGD.

Limitations and conclusions

Certain limitations of the present study need to be taken into account. First, regarding data collection, the participants were self-selecting and the use of a
snowballing procedure led to the recruitment of highly interconnected people, with some risk of gathering similar responses. This issue biases the generalizability of the results to the Italian adult gamer population and subsequent generalizations can only be supported by means of other studies. Second, data were self-reported, and as such, subjected to specific biases (e.g., social desirability). Then, the cross-sectional design of the present study precluded from making inferences on causal relations between the variables investigated. A further shortcoming of the study is that it did not distinguish between different game genres. More surveys are needed to deepen our knowledge about the role of specific types of games on the complex relationship between personality profile, motives and IGD. In addition, future research should also involve clinical samples to look more deeply into the issue. Moreover, despite many studies highlight that the classification of IGD as a mental health disorder is appropriate, and that the condition is a risk factor for impaired psychosocial well-being (e.g., Teng, et al., 2020), the few longitudinal studies analysing the association between IGD and psychopathology provide contradictory results and show the complexity of this relationship (see González-Bueso et al., 2018, for a review). For that reason, longitudinal studies on the natural course or history of IGD are important for future lines of search.

Despite these limitations, the present study contains certain strengths for scholars and clinicians. In line with previous research, these findings underline the pivotal role of personality traits and gaming motives (i.e., escape, fantasy, competition) for treatment and prevention. More specifically, playing to escape from daily hopelessness appears to be dangerous in terms of IGD. Similarly, competition and fantasy (i.e., experiencing new challenges and living out alternative identities through the game) are mediators in the relationship between personality and IGD. Clinicians should take into account the presence of these trait-motive combinations in playing online videogames and tailor the intervention accordingly. Regarding prevention, the results suggest that hopelessness may play an important role in predicting the possibility to develop problematic gaming. Moreover, prevention programs should be set to address the specific psychological trait. Finally, the present study examined never previously investigated personality traits stemming from substance use literature in terms of protective-risk factors, adding insights to the existing empirical research, which has already investigated relationships between personality traits and gaming addiction (e.g., Müller et al., 2014). Such an important collective finding should help to identify a more detailed profile of addicted gamers.

Taken as a whole, the present study will support research into video gaming habits in the Italian context, contributing to a common discourse concerning the diagnosis of IGD.

Specifically, the results suggest that hopelessness is an underlying risk trait of IGD and that video gaming seems a way to escape from negative emotions such as depressive states, confirming the model of compensatory Internet use. The evidence that problematic video game use is related to relief from pain suggests that pathological gaming use may be motivated by psychological mechanisms similar to
those compelling substance abuse (Hilgard et al., 2013), but with its own unique features.
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